arXiv:1610.03610v1 [math.PR] 12 Oct 2016

CORRELATIONS BETWEEN REAL AND COMPLEX ZEROS OF A RANDOM POLYNOMIAL

FRIEDRICH GÖTZE, DENIS KOLEDA, AND DMITRY ZAPOROZHETS

Abstract. Consider a random polynomial

$$G(z) := \xi_0 + \xi_1 z + \cdots + \xi_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $\xi_0, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n$ are independent real-valued random variables with probability density functions $f_0, \ldots, f_n$. We give an explicit formula for the mixed (k,l)-correlation function $\rho_{k,l} : \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{C}_+^l \to \mathbb{R}_+$ between k real and l complex zeros of G_n.

1. Introduction

Let $\xi_0, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n$ be independent *real-valued* random variables with probability density functions $f_0, \ldots, f_n$. Consider a random polynomial

$$G(z) := \xi_0 + \xi_1 z + \cdots + \xi_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{1}$$

With probability one, all zeros of G are simple. Denote by μ the empirical measure counting zeros of G:

$$\mu := \sum_{z : G(z) = 0} \delta_z,$$

where δ_z is the unit point mass at z. The random measure μ may be regarded as a random point process on $\mathbb{C}$. The natural way of describing the distribution of a point process is via its *correlation functions*. However, since the coefficients of G are real, its zeros are symmetric with respect to the real line, and some of them are real. Therefore, the natural configuration space for the point process μ must be a "separated" union $\mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}$ with topology induced by the union of topologies in $\mathbb{C}_+$ and $\mathbb{R}$. Instead of considering the correlation functions of the process on $\mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}$, the equivalent way is to investigate the *mixed (k,l)-correlation functions* (see [25]). We call functions $\rho_{k,l} : \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{C}_+^l \to \mathbb{R}_+$, where $k + 2l \le n$, the mixed (k,l)-correlation functions of zeros of G, if for any family of mutually disjoint Borel subsets $B_1, \ldots, B_k \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $B_{k+1}, \ldots, B_{k+l} \subset \mathbb{C}_+$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k+l} \mu(B_i)\right] = \int_{B_1} \ldots \int_{B_{k+l}} \rho_{k,l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}. \tag{2}$$

Here and subsequently, we write

$$\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k, \quad \mathbf{z} := (z_1, \ldots, z_l) \in \mathbb{C}_+^l.$$

The most popular class of random polynomilas are *Kac polynomials*, when ξ_i's are i.i.d. Sometimes the i.i.d. coefficients are considered with some non-random

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60B99; secondary, 26C10, 30C15, 60G55.

Key words and phrases. random polynomials, mixed correlation functions, real zeros, complex zeros, Coarea formula.

The work was done with the financial support of the Bielefeld University (Germany) in terms of project SFB 701. The work of the third author is supported by the grant RFBR 16-01-00367 and by the Program of Fundamental Researches of Russian Academy of Sciences "Modern Problems of Fundamental Mathematics".

weights c_i's. The common examples are *flat or Weil polynomials* ($c_i = \sqrt{1/i!}$) and *elliptic polynomials* ($c_i = \sqrt{\binom{n}{k}}$).

The $(1,0)$-correlation function $\rho_{1,0}$ is called a density of real zeros. Being integrated over $\mathbb{R}$, it equals the average number of real zeros of G. The asymptotic properties of this object as $n \to \infty$ have been intensively studied for many years, mostly for Kac polynomials; see the historical background in [1] and the survey of the most recent results in [25]. We just mention some works: [14], [4], [24], [12], [19], [18], [23].

In the same manner, $\rho_{0,1}$ is called a density of complex zeros, that is an expectation of the empirical measure μ counting non-real zeros. Its limit behavior as $n \to \infty$ is also of a great interest, see [22], [11], [10], [13], [20], [21], and the references given there.

At the same time, there are relatively few papers on higher-order correlation functions of zeros. The most known results are due to Bleher and Di [2], [3] who studied the correlations between real zeros for elliptic and Kac polynomials, and to Tao and Vu [25] who under some moment conditions on ξ_i proved the asymptotic universality for the mixed correlation functions for elliptic, Weil, and Kac polynomials.

The aim of this note is to derive the explicit formula for the mixed correlation functions of zeros of random polynomials with arbitrary absolutely continuous random coefficients. To simplify calculations, we assume that ξ_i's are independent, however, our proof works for the coefficients having an arbitrary joint probability density function.

2. Main result

Recall that $f_0, \dots, f_n$ are the probability density functions of the coefficients $\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n$ of G; see (1). For $m = 1, \dots, n$, consider a function $\rho_m : \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$\rho_m(z_1, \dots, z_m) := \prod_{1 \le i < j \le m} |z_i - z_j| \tag{3}$$
$$\times \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-m+1}} \prod_{i=0}^{n} f_i \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-m} (-1)^{m-i+j} \sigma_{m-i+j}(z_1, \dots, z_m) t_j \right) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} t_j z_i^j \right| \mathrm{d}t_0 \dots \mathrm{d}t_{n-m},$$

where we used the following notation for the elementary symmetric polynomials:

$$\sigma_i(z_1, \dots, z_m) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if} \quad i = 0, \\ \sum_{1 \le j_1 < \dots < j_i \le m} z_{j_1} z_{j_2} \dots z_{j_i}, & \text{if} \quad 1 \le i \le m, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

It is tacitly assumed that the arguments of f_i's are well-defined: we will always consider only the collections of points $(z_1, \dots, z_m)$ such that all symmetric functions of them are real.

We will also need the notation for the absolute value of the Vandermonde determinant:

$$\mathrm{v}_m(z_1, \dots, z_m) := \prod_{1 \le i < j \le m} |z_i - z_j|. \tag{5}$$

It was proved in [7] that the correlation functions of real zeros are given by (3):

$$\rho_{k,0}(\mathbf{x}) = \rho_k(\mathbf{x})$$

for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$. The following theorem generalizes this relation to all mixed (k,l)-correlation functions.

Theorem 2.1. *For all* $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{C}_+^l$,

$$\rho_{k,l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = 2^l \rho_{k+2l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}), \tag{6}$$

where ρ_{k+2l} *is defined in* (3).

It is interesting to note that essentially the correlations between real zeros and the correlations between complex zeros are given by the same function ρ_m. In particular, ρ_2 provides the formula for the density of complex zeros as well as for the two-point correlation function of real zeros:

$$\rho_{0,1}(z) = 2\rho_2(z, \bar{z}), \quad \rho_{2,0}(x, y) = \rho_2(x, y).$$

The latter formula (with different notations) was obtained in [27].

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is be given in Section 4. In the next section we derive some corollaries.

3. Applications of Theorem 2.1

Substituting (3) into (6) gives

$$\rho_{k,l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = 2^l \mathrm{v}_{k+2l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-k-2l+1}} \prod_{i=0}^{n} f_i \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l} (-1)^{k-i+j} t_j \sigma_{k+2l-i+j}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) \right) \times \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l} t_j x_i^j \right| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{l} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l} t_j z_i^j \right|^2 \mathrm{d}t_0 \dots \mathrm{d}t_{n-k-2l}.$$

In particular, taking $k = 1, l = 0$ yields the formula for the density of real zeros:

$$\rho_{1,0}(x) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=0}^{n} f_i \left(t_{i-1} - x t_i \right) \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_j x^j \right| \mathrm{d}t_0 \dots \mathrm{d}t_{n-1},$$

where we set $t_{-1} = t_n := 0$.

Taking $k = 0, l = 1$ yields the formula for the density of complex zeros:

$$\rho_{0,1}(z) = 4|\operatorname{Im} z| \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \prod_{i=0}^{n} f_i(t_{i-2} - 2t_{i-1} \operatorname{Re} z + t_i |z|^2) \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} t_j z^j \right|^2 \mathrm{d}t_0 \dots \mathrm{d}t_{n-2},$$

where we set $t_{-2} = t_{-1} = t_{n-1} = t_n := 0$.

Taking $k = n - 2l$ we obtain the (non-normalized) joint density of all zeros given that G has exactly $n - 2l$ real zeros:

$$\rho_{n-2l,l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = 2^l \mathrm{v}_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} |t|^n \prod_{i=0}^{n} f_i \left((-1)^{n-i} t \sigma_{n-i}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) \right) \mathrm{d}t. \tag{7}$$

It is easy to derive from (2) that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\mu(\mathbb{R})!}{(\mu(\mathbb{R}) - n + 2l)!} \frac{\mu(\mathbb{C}_+)!}{(\mu(\mathbb{C}_+) - l)!} \right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2l}} \int_{\mathbb{C}_+^l} \rho_{n-2l,l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{z},$$

where we used the convention $0! := 1$ and $q! := \infty$ for any integer $q < 0$. Since with probability one $\mu(\mathbb{R}) + 2\mu(\mathbb{C}_+) = n$, the random variable under expectation is non-zero if and only if $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = n - 2l$. Thus we obtain the probability that G has

exactly $n-2l$ real zeros:

$$\mathbb{P}[\mu(\mathbb{R}) = n-2l] = \frac{2^l}{l!(n-2l)!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2l}}\int_{\mathbb{C}_+^l} \mathrm{v}_n(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}}) \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} |t|^n \prod_{i=0}^{n} f_i\left((-1)^{n-i} t\sigma_{n-i}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}})\right) \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}.$$

This formula was obtained in [26]. Now we consider some examples.

Uniformly distributed coefficients. In algebraic number theory, random polynomials with independent and uniformly distributed on $[-1,1]$ coefficients are of a special interest (see [15], [9], [8]). Let us apply Theorem 2.1 to this case.

Suppose that

$$f_i = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{1}[-1,1], \quad i = 0,\dots,n.$$

Then it follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\rho_{k,l}(\mathbf{x}) = 2^{l-n-1}\mathrm{v}_{k+2l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}}) \times \int_{D_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}}} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l} t_j x_i^j\right| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{l}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l} t_j z_i^j\right|^2 \mathrm{d}t_0\dots\mathrm{d}t_{n-k-2l},$$

where the domain of integration $D_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}}$ is defined as

$$D_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}} := \left\{(t_0,\dots,t_{n-k-2l}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k-2l+1} : \max_{0\le i\le n}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l}(-1)^{k-i+j} t_j \sigma_{k+2l-i+j}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}})\right| \le 1\right\}.$$

In particular,

$$\rho_{n-2l,l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) = \frac{2^{l-n}}{n+1}\cdot\frac{\mathrm{v}_n(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}})}{(\max_{0\le i\le n}|\sigma_i(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}})|)^{n+1}}.$$

Gaussian distribution. Suppose that

$$f_i(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}v_i}\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2v_i^2}\right), \quad i = 0,\dots,n.$$

Using the formula for the n-th absolute moment of the Gaussian distribution, we derive from (7) that

$$\rho_{n-2l,l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) = \frac{2^{l+1/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{(2\pi)^{n/2}v_0\dots v_n}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\frac{\sigma_{n-i}^2(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}})}{v_i^2}\right)^{-(n+1)/2}\mathrm{v}_n(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}}).$$

In particular, for i.i.d coefficients we have

$$\rho_{n-2l,l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) = \frac{2^{l+1/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{(2\pi)^{n/2}}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\sigma_{n-i}^2(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}})\right)^{-(n+1)/2}\mathrm{v}_n(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}}).$$

Exponential distribution. Suppose that

$$f_i(t) = \exp(-t)\mathbb{1}\{t\ge 0\}, \quad i = 0,\dots,n.$$

By an elementary integration, (7) implies

$$\rho_{n-2l,l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^i\sigma_i(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}})\right)^{-(n+1)}\mathrm{v}_n(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}})\mathbb{1}\{(-1)^i\sigma_i(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}})\ge 0\}.$$

Using the trivial identity

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^i\sigma_i(w_1,\dots,w_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-w_i),$$

we obtain

$$\rho_{n-2l,l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) = \frac{n!\,\mathrm{v}_n(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}})\,\mathbb{1}\{(-1)^i\sigma_i(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}})\geq 0\}}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-2l}(1-x_i)\prod_{i=1}^{l}(1-2\operatorname{Re} z_i+|z_i|^2)\right)^{n+1}}.$$

Random polynomials with i.i.d. exponential coefficients have been investigated in [17], [6].

4. Proof of Theorem 2.1

4.1. **Preliminaries.** Suppose that $x_1,\dots,x_k\in\mathbb{R}$ and $z_1,\dots,z_l\in\mathbb{C}_+$ are different zeros of G. It means that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & \dots & x_1^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_k & \dots & x_k^n \\ 1 & \operatorname{Re} z_1 & \dots & \operatorname{Re} z_1^n \\ 0 & \operatorname{Im} z_1 & \dots & \operatorname{Im} z_1^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \operatorname{Re} z_l & \dots & \operatorname{Re} z_l^n \\ 0 & \operatorname{Im} z_l & \dots & \operatorname{Im} z_l^n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_n \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{8}$$

Denote by $V(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})$ the real Vandermonde type matrix

$$V(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & \dots & x_1^{k+2l} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_k & \dots & x_k^{k+2l} \\ 1 & \operatorname{Re} z_1 & \dots & \operatorname{Re} z_1^{k+2l} \\ 0 & \operatorname{Im} z_1 & \dots & \operatorname{Im} z_1^{k+2l} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \operatorname{Re} z_l & \dots & \operatorname{Re} z_l^{k+2l} \\ 0 & \operatorname{Im} z_l & \dots & \operatorname{Im} z_l^{k+2l} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, (8) is equivalent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n}\xi_j x_1^j \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n}\xi_j x_k^j \\ \operatorname{Re}\sum_{j=k+2l}^{n}\xi_j z_1^j \\ \operatorname{Im}\sum_{j=k+2l}^{n}\xi_j z_1^j \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{Re}\sum_{j=k+2l}^{n}\xi_j z_l^j \\ \operatorname{Im}\sum_{j=k+2l}^{n}\xi_j z_l^j \end{pmatrix} = -V(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_{k+2l-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{9}$$

It is easy to check that $V(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})$ satisfies

$$|\det V(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})| = 2^{-l}\mathrm{v}_{k+2l}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}}), \tag{10}$$

where v_{k+2l} is defined in (5).

Consider a random function $\boldsymbol{\eta} = (\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{k+2l-1})^T : \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{C}^l \to \mathbb{R}^{k+2l}$ defined as

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) := -V^{-1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=k+2l}^n \xi_j x_1^j \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=k+2l}^n \xi_j x_k^j \\ \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=k+2l}^n \xi_j z_1^j \\ \operatorname{Im} \sum_{j=k+2l}^n \xi_j z_1^j \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=k+2l}^n \xi_j z_l^j \\ \operatorname{Im} \sum_{j=k+2l}^n \xi_j z_l^j \end{pmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

It follows from (9) that (8) is equivalent to

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_{k+2l-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{12}$$

Consider a random function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{C}^l \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) := \frac{1}{\mathrm{v}_{k+2l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})} \prod_{i=1}^k \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} j\eta_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) x_i^{j-1} + \sum_{j=k+2l}^n j\xi_j x_i^{j-1} \right| \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^l \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} j\eta_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) z_i^{j-1} + \sum_{j=k+2l}^n j\xi_j z_i^{j-1} \right|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Lemma 4.1. *For all* $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{C}^l$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \prod_{i=0}^{k+2l-1} f_i(\eta_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})) \right] = \rho_{k+2l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}). \tag{14}$$

Proof. The idea of the proof goes back to [16, pp. 58–59] (see also [15, Lemmas 5, 6]).

By definition of the expected value,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \prod_{i=0}^{k+2l-1} f_i(\eta_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})) \right] =& \frac{1}{\mathrm{v}_{k+2l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})} \\ & \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-k-2l+1}} \prod_{i=1}^k \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} j r_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{s}) x_i^{j-1} + \sum_{j=k+2l}^n j s_j x_i^{j-1} \right| \\ & \times \prod_{i=1}^l \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} j r_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{s}) z_i^{j-1} + \sum_{j=k+2l}^n j s_j z_i^{j-1} \right|^2 \\ & \times \prod_{i=0}^{k+2l-1} f_i(r_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{s})) \prod_{i=k+2l}^n f_i(s_i) \mathrm{d}s_{k+2l} \dots \mathrm{d}s_n, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where the functions $r_0, \dots, r_{k+2l-1}$ are defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{s}) \\ \vdots \\ r_{k+2l-1}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{s}) \end{pmatrix} := -V^{-1}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} s_j x_1^j \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} s_j x_k^j \\ \operatorname{Re}\sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} s_j z_1^j \\ \operatorname{Im}\sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} s_j z_1^j \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{Re}\sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} s_j z_l^j \\ \operatorname{Im}\sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} s_j z_l^j \end{pmatrix} \tag{16}$$

and $\mathbf{s} := (s_{k+2l}, \dots, s_n)$.

Now we make the following change of variables:

$$s_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l} (-1)^{k+2l-i+j} \sigma_{k+2l-i+j}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}}) t_j, \quad i = k+2l, \dots, n. \tag{17}$$

where σ_i's are defined in (4). The Jacobian is a lower triangle matrix with unities in the diagonal, hence the determinant is 1. This change came from the fact that $x_1, \dots, x_k, z_1, \bar{z_1} \dots, z_l, \bar{z}_l$ are zeros of the polynomial

$$g(z) := r_0 + r_1 z + \cdots + r_{k+2l-1} z^{k+2l-1} + s_{k+2l} z^{k+2l} + \cdots + s_n z^n,$$

see (16). Thus for some $t'_0, \dots, t'_{n-k-2l}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= \prod_{j=1}^{k} (z - x_j) \prod_{j=1}^{l} (z - z_j)(z - \bar{z_j}) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l} t'_j z^j \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k+2l} (-1)^{k+2l-j} \sigma_{k+2l-j}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}}) z^j \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l} t'_j z^j \right) \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Comparing the coefficients of the polynomials from the left-hand and right-hand sides and recalling (17) we obtain that $t'_i = t_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-k-2l$ and

$$r_i(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l} (-1)^{k+2l-i+j} \sigma_{k+2l-i+j}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z},\bar{\mathbf{z}}) t_j, \quad i = 0, \dots, k+2l-1. \tag{19}$$

If we differentiate the first equation in (18) at points x_i, z_i, and $\bar{z_i}$, we get

(20)

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} j r_j x_i^{j-1} + \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} j s_j x_i^{j-1} = \prod_{j\neq i} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{j=1}^{l} (x_i - z_j)(x_i - \bar{z_j}) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l} t'_j x_i^j \right),$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} j r_j z_i^{j-1} + \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} j s_j z_i^{j-1} = \prod_{j=1}^{k} (z_i - x_j) \prod_{j\neq i} (z_i - z_j)(z_i - \bar{z_j}) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l} t'_j z_i^j \right),$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} j r_j \bar{z_i}^{j-1} + \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} j s_j \bar{z_i}^{j-1} = \prod_{j=1}^{k} (\bar{z_i} - x_j) \prod_{j\neq i} (\bar{z_i} - z_j)(\bar{z_i} - \bar{z_j}) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2l} t'_j \bar{z_i}^j \right).$$

Substituting (17), (19), and (20) in (15) completes the proof of the lemma.

□

Denote by $J_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})$ the *real* Jacobian matrix of $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ at point $(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})$:

$$J_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \eta_0}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial \eta_0}{\partial x_k} & \frac{\partial \eta_0}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_1} & \frac{\partial \eta_0}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial \eta_0}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_l} & \frac{\partial \eta_0}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_l} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \eta_{k+2l-1}}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial \eta_{k+2l-1}}{\partial x_k} & \frac{\partial \eta_{k+2l-1}}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_1} & \frac{\partial \eta_{k+2l-1}}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial \eta_{k+2l-1}}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_l} & \frac{\partial \eta_{k+2l-1}}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_l} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 4.2. *For all* $(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{C}^l$,

$$|\det J_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})| = 2^l \varphi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}), \tag{21}$$

where $\varphi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})$ *is defined in* (13).

Proof. Differentiating

$$V(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})\boldsymbol{\eta}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) = -\begin{pmatrix} x_1^{k+2l} & x_1^{k+2l+1} & \dots & x_1^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_k^{k+2l} & x_k^{k+2l+1} & \dots & x_k^n \\ \operatorname{Re} z_1^{k+2l} & \operatorname{Re} z_1^{k+2l+1} & \dots & \operatorname{Re} z_1^n \\ \operatorname{Im} z_1^{k+2l} & \operatorname{Im} z_1^{k+2l+1} & \dots & \operatorname{Im} z_1^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{Re} z_l^{k+2l} & \operatorname{Re} z_l^{k+2l+1} & \dots & \operatorname{Re} z_l^n \\ \operatorname{Im} z_l^{k+2l} & \operatorname{Im} z_l^{k+2l+1} & \dots & \operatorname{Im} z_l^n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{k+2l} \\ \vdots \\ \xi_n \end{pmatrix},$$

we obtain

$$V(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})J_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z}) + \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & A_2 \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} A_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & A_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$A_1 := \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} j\eta_j x_1^{j-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} j\eta_j x_2^{j-1} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} j\eta_j x_k^{j-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_2 := \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} \eta_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_1^j}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_1} & \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} \eta_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_1^j}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_1} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} \eta_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_1^j}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_1} & \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} \eta_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_1^j}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_1} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} \eta_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_l^j}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_l} & \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} \eta_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_l^j}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_l} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} \eta_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_l^j}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_l} & \sum_{j=0}^{k+2l-1} \eta_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_l^j}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_l} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_3 := \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} j\xi_j x_1^{j-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} j\xi_j x_2^{j-1} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} j\xi_j x_k^{j-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_4 := \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} \xi_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_1^j}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_1} & \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} \xi_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_1^j}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_1} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} \xi_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_1^j}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_1} & \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} \xi_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_1^j}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_1} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} \xi_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_l^j}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_l} & \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} \xi_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_l^j}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_l} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} \xi_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_l^j}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z_l} & \sum_{j=k+2l}^{n} \xi_j \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_l^j}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z_l} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We finish the proof by taking the second term from the left-hand side to the right-hand side, using (10), and noting that for any analytic function $f(z)$

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} f}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z} & \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} f}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z} \\ \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} f}{\partial \operatorname{Re} z} & \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} f}{\partial \operatorname{Im} z} \end{pmatrix} = |f'(z)|^2.$$

□

Lemma 4.3 (Coarea formula)**.** *Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be a region. Let $\mathbf{u} : B \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a Lipschitz function and $h : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ be an L^1-function. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \#\{\mathbf{x} \in B : \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{y}\}\, h(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} = \int_B |\det J_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x})|\, h(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}, \tag{22}$$

where $J_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x})$ is the Jacobian matrix of $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$.

Proof. See [5, pp. 243–244]. □

4.2. **Proof.** Now we are ready to finish the proof of Theorem 2.1. To this end, we show that for any family of mutually disjoint Borel subsets $B_1, \dots, B_k \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $B_{k+1}, \dots, B_{k+l} \subset \mathbb{C}_+$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k+l} \mu(B_i)\right] = 2^l \int_{B_1} \dots \int_{B_{k+l}} \rho_{k+2l}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \mathrm{d}x_1 \dots \mathrm{d}x_k \mathrm{d}z_1 \dots \mathrm{d}z_l. \tag{23}$$

From (12) we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k+l} \mu(B_i)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\#\{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \in B_1 \times \dots \times B_{k+l} : \eta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = (\xi_0, \dots, \xi_{k+2l-1})\}\right]. \tag{24}$$

For $j = 1, \dots, l$ denote by $\tilde{B}_{k+j}$ a "real counterpart" of B_{k+j}:

$$\tilde{B}_{k+j} := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x + iy \in B_{k+j}\}.$$

Let us apply Lemma 4.3 to (24) with

$$m = k + 2l, \quad B = B_1 \times \dots \times B_k \times \tilde{B}_{k+1} \times \dots \times \tilde{B}_{k+l},$$

$$\mathbf{u}(x_1, \dots, x_{k+2l}) = \boldsymbol{\eta}(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1} \pm i x_{k+2}, \dots, x_{k+2l-1} \pm i x_{k+2l}),$$

$$h(y_0, \dots, y_{k+2l-1}) = f_0(y_0) \dots f_{k+2l-1}(y_{k+2l-1}).$$

Note that the indices of y_i's are shifted by 1 according to the polynomial coefficients numeration. So due to Lemma 4.3, the r.h.s. of (24) is equal to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k+2l}} \mathbb{E}\left[\#\{(x_1, \dots, x_{k+2l}) \in B : \mathbf{u}(x_1, \dots, x_{k+2l}) = \mathbf{y}\}\right] f_0(y_0) \dots f_{k+2l-1}(y_{k+2l-1})\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \int_B |\det J_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x})| \prod_{i=0}^{k+2l-1} f_i(u_i(x_1, \dots, x_{k+2l}))\, \mathrm{d}x_1 \dots \mathrm{d}x_{k+2l},$$

where in the last step we used Fubini's theorem and then (22). The Jacobian matrix of $\mathbf{u}$ coincides with the real Jacobian matrix of $\boldsymbol{\eta}$, the determinant of which is given by Lemma 4.2. Thus, switching from $\mathbf{u}$ to $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ and again using Fubini's theorem we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k+l} \mu(B_i)\right] = 2^l \int_B \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \prod_{i=0}^{k+2l-1} f_i(\eta_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}))\right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{z},$$

where $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ is defined in (13).

Combining this with (14) implies (23), and due to (2) the theorem follows.

References

[1] A. Bharucha-Reid and M. Sambandham. *Random polynomials*. Probability and Mathematical Statistics. Academic Press, 1986.

[2] P. Bleher and X. Di. Correlations between zeros of a random polynomial. *J. Statist. Phys.*, 88(1-2):269–305, 1997.

[3] P. Bleher and X. Di. Correlations between zeros of non-Gaussian random polynomials. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (46):2443–2484, 2004.

[4] P. Erdös and A. Offord. On the number of real roots of a random algebraic equation. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 6(1):139–160, 1956.

[5] H. Federer. *Geometric measure theory*. Springer-Verlag, 1969.

[6] S. Ghosh and O. Zeitouni. Large deviations for zeros of random polynomials with i.i.d. exponential coefficients. *Preprint, arXiv:1312.6195*, 2013.

[7] F. Götze, D. Kaliada, and D. Zaporozhets. Correlation functions of real zeros of random polynomials. *Preprint, arXiv:1510.00025*, 2015.

[8] F. Götze, D. Kaliada, and D. Zaporozhets. Distribution of complex algebraic numbers. *Preprint, arXiv:1410.3623*, 2015.

[9] F. Götze and D. Zaporozhets. Discriminant and root separation of integral polynomials. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI*, 441(Veroyatn. i Stat. 22):144–153, 2015.

[10] I. Ibragimov and D. Zaporozhets. On distribution of zeros of random polynomials in complex plane. In *Prokhorov and Contemporary Probability Theory*, volume 33 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, pages 303–323. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013.

[11] I. Ibragimov and O. Zeitouni. On roots of random polynomials. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 349(6):2427–2441, 1997.

[12] I. A. Ibragimov and N. B. Maslova. The mean number of real zeros of random polynomials. I. Coefficients with zero mean. *Teor. Verojatnost. i Primenen.*, 16(2):229–248, 1971. In Russian; Engl. translation: Theor. Probability Appl. 16 (1971), no. 2, p. 228–248.

[13] Z. Kabluchko and D. Zaporozhets. Asymptotic distribution of complex zeros of random analytic functions. *Ann. Probab.*, 42:1374–1395, 2014.

[14] M. Kac. On the average number of real roots of a random algebraic equation. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 49(4):314–320, 1943. Correction: Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 49 (1943), no. 12, p. 938.

[15] D. Kaliada. On the density function of the distribution of real algebraic numbers. *Preprint, arXiv:1405.1627*, 2014.

[16] D. Koleda. On the frequency of integer polynomials with a given number of close roots. *Tr. Inst. Mat. (Minsk)*, 20(2):51–63, 2012. (In Russian).

[17] W. Li. Probability of all real zeros for random polynomial with the exponential ensemble. *Preprint, http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.221.6122&rep=rep1&type=pdf*, 2011.

[18] D. Lubinsky, I. Pritsker, and X. Xie. Expected number of real zeros for random orthogonal polynomials. *Preprint, arXiv:1507.01258*, 2015.

[19] A. Nazarov and D. Zaporozhets. What is the least expected number of roots of a random polynomial? *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 53(1):117–133, 2009.

[20] I. Pritsker. Asymptotic zero distribution of random polynomials spanned by general bases. *Contemp. Math.*, 661:121–140, 2016.

[21] I. Pritsker and K. Ramachandran. Equidistribution of zeros of random polynomials. *Preprint, arXiv:1607.02855*, 2016.

[22] D. Shparo and M. Shur. On the distribution of roots of random polynomials. *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.*, 3:40–43, 1962. (In Russian).

[23] K. Söze. Real zeroes of random polynomials, ii: Descartes' rule of signs and anti-concentration on the symmetric group. *Preprint, arXiv:1601.04858*, 2016.

[24] D. C. Stevens. The average number of real zeros of a random polynomial. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 22(4):457–477, 1969.

[25] T. Tao and V. Vu. Local universality of zeroes of random polynomials. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2015(13):5053–5139, 2015. Preprint arXiv:1307.4357, 2013.

[26] D. Zaporozhets. On the distribution of the number of real zeros of a random polynomial. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI*, 320(Veroyatn. i Stat. 8):69–79, 2004. In Russian; Engl. translation: J. Math. Sci. vol. 137 (2006), no. 1, pp. 4525–4530.

[27] D. N. Zaporozhets. Random polynomials and geometric probability. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, 400(3):299–303, 2005. In Russian; Engl. translation: Doklady Mathematics, vol. 71 (2005), no. 1, pp. 53–57.

Friedrich Götze, Faculty of Mathematics, Bielefeld University, P. O. Box 10 01 31, 33501 Bielefeld, Germany
E-mail address: goetze@math.uni-bielefeld.de

Denis Koleda, Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, 220072 Minsk, Belarus
E-mail address: koledad@rambler.ru

Dmitry Zaporozhets, St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Institute of Mathematics, Fontanka 27, 191011 St. Petersburg, Russia
E-mail address: zap1979@gmail.com